## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE: Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered

CIRCUIT CITY STORES,

INC., et al.,

701 East Broad Street

Richmond, VA 23219

Debtors.

May 14, 2013

2:01 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the Debtor: Tavenner & Beran, PLC

By: LYNN S. TAVENNER, ESQ.

20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor

Richmond, VA 23219

For G&S Livingston LeClair Ryan

Realty, Inc.:

By: CHRISTIAN K. VOGEL, ESQ. Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street, 8th Floor

Richmond, VA 23219

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

> J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. Court is now in Please be seated and come to order.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

14

15

16

19

21

22

23

24

COURT CLERK: Circuit City Stores, Incorporated, Items 1 through 2 on proposed agenda.

MS. TAVENNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. For the 6 record, Lynn Tavenner of the law firm of Tavenner & Beran appearing on behalf of the trust. Together with me today at counsel table is Ms. Katherine Bradshaw, the trust representative.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Tavenner.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you. Good afternoon, sir. 12∥There are only two matters on the docket today, the first of which is a motion for default judgment in the adversary 10-03564. It's labeled Siegal against R-Pac International Corp.

Your Honor, there's an affidavit that's been attached to the motion for a default judgment of Ms. Pam Egan that goes through the facts. One of the items is that the trustee did dismiss the complaint against the defendant R-Pac long ago and  $20 \parallel$  as a result this motion only goes to the defendant Minwa.

Also recited in the affidavit, Your Honor, is that the trustee has previously submitted a request for service abroad of judicial or extra-judicial documents which Your Honor entered and the alias summons and complaint was served by that mechanism. Ms. Egan's affidavit goes through the specifics

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

with regard to that service. We have attached to her affidavit 2 the actual information coming back from Hong Kong to show that the service was effected.

Pursuant to that effected service, Your Honor, the 5 defendant Minwa had until March the 21st to respond to that 6 second alias summons and complaint. Minwa did not respond in any shape or form. The trust has not heard from them. result, Your Honor, we filed the existing motion for default. The clerk has now entered default against Minwa and we would submit to Your Honor that the case is now ripe at this point for entry of a default judgment.

The claims against the defendant are for sum certain. In the complaint, the trustee sought several things, the first of which was the avoidance of a preferential transfer. was in the amount of \$201,247.70. Then, the same complaint objected to Claim Number 2626 on the basis that it was overstated and the trust believed that it should be reduced to \$130,714.64. In addition, Your Honor, the trust objected to Claim Number 417 and requested that that claim be expunged on the grounds that it was duplicative of the Claim 2626.

Further, Your Honor, the trust would submit that if Your Honor granted that relief that the trust would be owed the sum certain of \$70,533.06 based upon the setting off of the Claim Number 2626 against the preferential transfer. point, then, Your Honor, we are seeking that Your Honor enter

default judgment in that amount.

THE COURT: All right. Does any party wish to be heard in connection with the motion for default judgment?

(No audible response)

THE COURT: Was this one of the matters in which I issued the letter rogatory?

MS. TAVENNER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, very good. The Court finds, based on the affidavit, the certificate of service that was filed in this case, that the bailiff of the High Court of Hong Kong did serve the -- pursuant to the direction of the registrar of the High Court, did serve the process in accordance with the laws of Hong Kong and the Court finds that good and valid service of process was effected in this case under rules for -- the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures incorporated by Rule 7004 and by the laws of Hong Kong. And the Court finds the time within which to file responsive pleadings has now lapsed.

The Court finds that no responsive pleadings have been filed by Minwa Electronics Company to the complaint and that they are in default under the rules of court.

Accordingly, the Court's going to grant the motion for default judgment. The Court will enter judgment by default in the amount of \$70,533.06, as requested by the plaintiff and ask you to submit an order to that effect.

MS. TAVENNER: We will do so, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: And then also disallowing the claim, et cetera, allowing for the set off as net result.

> MS. TAVENNER: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 II

2.0

21

22

23

24

MS. TAVENNER: The only remaining matter on the 6 docket this afternoon, Your Honor, is Item 2 which is actually the motion of G&S Livingston Realty, Inc. to reconsider and vacate a prior order with respect to a claim. Mr. Vogel is in the courtroom who represents G&S Livingston Realty. We noted in the agenda that the parties would appear today for status purposes and request that the matter be set down for a substantive hearing.

We're hopeful that we can enter into a dialogue with counsel for G&S and that perhaps we can avoid the need for a substantive hearing altogether. However, at this point, we do request that we set it down for a substantive hearing so that we have some benchmarks to move toward.

Mr. Vogel and my partner, Paula Beran, were in correspondence prior to the hearing and we alerted him to the available June dates that we have set down already for omni hearings and also told him that we don't yet have July, August, September dates and we are endeavoring to obtain the same. Vogel was then going to get in touch with his co-counsel.

At this point, I don't think he has heard back from his co-counsel, but we would respectfully request, jointly,

1∥ that we set it down for June 6th, and then if that does not 2 prove to be a good date for his counsel, we would advise the Court.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

All right, very good. Mr. Vogel? THE COURT: MR. VOGEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kirk Vogel 6 here on behalf of G&S Livingston Realty.

We're hopeful that we can resolve this without a hearing, but to the extent necessary if we could set June 6th and --

This wasn't an excusable neglect THE COURT: Yes. situation. This was a failure to receive adequate notice because you're alleging that the notice was sent to the wrong 13 place and --

That's accurate, I think. They've had MR. VOGEL: issues apparently with receiving mail in the past and I think that we would provide evidence on that in that they -- my client's position is that they didn't receive the motion or the order that was entered and they only found out about the expungement of their claim through a claim purchaser that had contacted them previously that they reached back out to. yes, sir, that's it. That's, I believe, what the evidence that we would provide would show.

THE COURT: All right, very good. And so we want to set this down for a substantive hearing, and I wouldn't expect 25∥that it would take too long to hear that issue, if you aren't

MR. VOGEL: Thank you.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

24

25

## WWW.JJCOURT.COM

25